

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

Security Committee

INVESTIGATIVE STANDARDS WORKING GROUP

SECOM-D-044

17 February 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR: Members, Investigative Standards Working Group

FROM:

[redacted]
Chairman

STAT

SUBJECT: Adjudicator's Course - 8-12 February 1982

1. This is to express my appreciation to all of you who participated in one way or another in last weeks' seminar. I am personally satisfied that the running went well and [redacted] feels that this was one of the best groups that we have had. My particular thanks to [redacted] who spent the entire week with the group and furnished immeasurable assistance for what was my first running of the course. The next course is to run during the 3-7 May 1982 time frame, and I would encourage everyone to begin furnishing nominations as quickly as is feasible. We will continue to hold the students to 20 in number with what we hope will be an equitable division.

STAT
STAT
STAT
SIAI

2. Because of extreme limitations on the Security Committee's administrative capabilities, it is planned to cut down a great deal on the paperwork we have generated in the past, e.g., I do not plan to send formal letters any more to our speakers. Additionally, I will ask that each member contact the speakers who he or she usually monitors, to arrange for timing and the like. In addition, outside of the private sector speakers and those speakers who cannot readily forward expense vouchers, I would like to begin to phase out some of the mileage claims heretofore handled by the CIA. This represents an extremely burdensome administrative effort for a number of Agency offices.

3. The real purpose of this memorandum is to furnish the members an overview of the critiques of the 8-12 February running. In general, they are all favorable and the closing comments consist of statements as follows:

"The staff members who handled this seminar were outstanding in their professional approach and....I was

especially impressed with open willingness to share each others unique agency situations and problems...an outstanding week."; "To say the least - a great course"; "outstanding"; "considering the divergent representation from the various agencies, the program was well executed"; "I definitely will recommend that others in my agency attend future presentations"; "Excellent."

4. The quotes above represent a number of the most favorable comments, and there were no completely unfavorable comments, although different individuals varied in their perceptions of what we had tried to accomplish. A number of "students" commented that they would like to have spent more time listening to a run-down of how each Department and/or Agency conducts their respective adjudication processes and we may well wish to add that the next time. In terms of individual speakers, most were well received with [redacted] receiving, STAT as usual, high marks for their sessions. The FBI representative giving the CI presentation was somewhat controversial. (This was not [redacted] received much interest, particularly STAT with respect to his comments regarding the 4-C system as did [redacted] STAT

5. If any member has specific questions, I will be happy to discuss them, and I will try to bring the critiques to the next ISWG meeting for a quick run-through by the members. I am reluctant to forward them through each Agency, since we would like to keep control of them. As it stands now, I would hope to schedule the next meeting for the week of 8 March and will be in telephonic contact with regard to that.

STAT